

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 202 (1)

**CRM-M No. 28759 of 2022**

**Date of decision : 31.10.2022**

**Sia @ Sia Kaur**

.....Petitioner

*Versus*

**State of Punjab**

.....Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Senior DAG, Punjab.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 90 dated 06.06.2022 registered under Sections 306 and 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar, district Faridkot.

On 08.07.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case because the deceased committed suicide on account of certain disputes which had arisen between the petitioner's brother and the deceased; the petitioner does not have any other criminal antecedents; there is no suicide note or any other evidence to connect the petitioner with the crime she is alleged to have committed; even if the case of the prosecution is taken as the gospel truth, though vehemently denied, the same does not constitute abetment as defined under Section 107 IPC and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation.*

*Notice of motion.*

*Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and prays for time to argue the matter.*

*Adjourned to 19.10.2022.*

*In the meanwhile, subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C, in the event of her arrest in FIR No.90 dated 06.06.2022 registered under Sections 306 and 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot, she shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.*

*Before the adjourned date, the state shall file a status report after consideration of which further orders in the present petition shall be passed.”*

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 08.07.2022 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

**31.10.2022**  
*sunil yadav*

**( DEEPAK SIBAL )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No