

[118] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1284 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision :17.11.2022

Daya Ram

...Petitioner

versus

Anish Yadav, Deputy Commissioner,
Karnal and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Ashwarya Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] At the outset, learned DAG, Haryana, contends that in view of order dated 10.01.2019 in CWP-PIL No.4 of 2019 having been complied with, COCP-1609-2019 was disposed of as infructuous vide order dated 18.09.2019, with liberty to the petitioner to avail remedy in accordance with law, in case he was aggrieved qua non demolition of house of Bhana Ram.

[2] Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law qua non demolition of house of Bhana Ram.

[3] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.11.2022

'Rajneesh'