

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-13921-2020
Date of Decision: 16.12.2022

Mangal Singh

....Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Karan Puggal, DAG Punjab

Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for respondent No. 3

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 submits that the only grievance of the petitioner is that he should be heard before demolishing the cemented pavement constructed temporarily by him. In paragraph 10 of the written statement it has been mentioned that opportunity of hearing would definitely be granted to him. Thus, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also accepts this position.

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

16.12.2022
reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No