

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 202

CRM-M-28446-2022

Date of decision : 12.10.2022

Manpreet Dhir

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.G.S.Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Navneet Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.100 dated 03.06.2022 registered under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station Women, Ludhiana.

On 07.07.2022 this Court had passed the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case on account of a matrimonial discord between the petitioner and the complainant; there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner; the allegations with regard to demand of dowry and misappropriation of istridhan are false and in any case are vague and unsubstantiated; the FIR in question has been lodged against the petitioner as a counter blast to the divorce petition filed by the petitioner seeking therein divorce from the complainant; the petitioner is ready and willing to return all admitted articles of dowry lying with him and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Ms.Gunkirat Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 12.10.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.100, dated 03.06.2022, registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC at Police Station Women, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In the light of the reasons stated in the afore quoted order as also the statement made on behalf of the State, the order of this Court dated 07.07.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

12.10.2022
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No