

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRWP-6497-2022

Date of Decision : **July 08, 2022**

RAJWINDER KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. Abdul Aziz, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 as according to learned counsel for the petitioners there is an imminent threat at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.1 is a girl of the age of 24 years and in support of the same he has attached the copy of Aadhar Card Annexure P-1. The petitioner No.2 is of the age of 27 years and in support of the same he has attached the copy of Aadhar card Annexure P-2. They have married with each other and in support of the same they have attached marriage certificate as Annexure P-3. He submitted that in view of the imminent threat from the private respondents who are opposed to the marriage, a representation was given to the SSP, Rural Ludhiana Annexure P-4 but no action has

been taken in this regard. He further submitted that both the petitioners have attained the legally permissible age of marriage and they have freedom to marry with a person of their own choice.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. Deputy A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, Advocate who is present in the Court has accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.4 to 7. He submitted that respondent No.4 is the father of the girl and respondent No.5 is the mother of the girl. He has sought specific instructions from them to state that they have never posed any threat or danger to the petitioners and in future they will not pose any threat to the petitioners. He has, however, submitted that they may be granted liberty for meeting petitioner No.1.

I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioners have stated to have married with each other against the wishes of the private respondents. The father and mother who are respondents No.4 and 5 are present in the Court have also stated according to the learned counsel for the respondents No.4 and 5 that they have not posed any threat or danger to the petitioners nor they will pose any threat to them in near future.

So far as the prayer made by the learned counsel for the respondents No.4 and 5 for meeting of the parents with their daughter is concerned, the same is not the subject matter of the present petition and, therefore, cannot be considered. He may exhaust any other appropriate remedy which may be available to him in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid position, the present petition is disposed of.

However, if at any stage the petitioners apprehend any threat from the private respondents then they may file fresh representation to the concerned police authorities in accordance with law.

July 08, 2022
ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No