

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.105

CRM-M-411-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.8.2022

Surinder Singh and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Ms.Shalin Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.BB Azad, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr.Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

The present revision petition has been filed for challenging the impugned charge-sheet dated 15.1.2016 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge in Sessions, Faridabad Case No.73 dated 7.6.2010/19.2.2013 arising out of FIR No.112 dated 26.10.2009, registered under Sections 302, 201, 34, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at PS Chhainsa District Faridabad.

It has been stated in the petition that the petitioners herein were summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. The order of summoning had been challenged by the petitioners before this Court, which was upheld. The same was further taken in the subject matter of challenge in SLP (CrI.) 1705-2015, before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The order passed by this Court was upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide judgment dated 24.1.2019.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that in the meantime, all the accused including the present petitioners have been acquitted by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad vide judgment dated 12.7.2019 and therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous. She has placed on record copy of the abovesaid judgment of the learned Trial Court, which is taken on record.

Learned State counsel also affirms the aforestated facts.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

23.8.2022
gsv

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No