

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-28436-2022
Date of Decision :12.07.2022

Manoj @ Meenu @ Jhaya

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG. Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 years and the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the present petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner but, the petitioner will be satisfied in case the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection for the grant prayer as being made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Keeping in view the prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner, trial Court is directed to make all efforts to conclude the trial within a period of six months from the next date of hearing.

Petition stands disposed off in above terms.

July 12, 2022

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

aarti

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No