

**259 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-4043-2016

Date of Decision: 7th July, 2022

Kailash

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Maninder, Advocate for
Mr. V.P.Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gurbir Singh Dhillon, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Sanjit Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This criminal revision was filed against the judgment dated 26th July, 2016 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak in which the accused persons have been released on probation.

2. On 20th April, 2018, following order was passed:-

“During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that petitioner would be satisfied, if he is compensated monetarily on account of the injury suffered.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 states that such respondents are ready and willing to do so.

Under the circumstances, respondents No.2 to 4 would pay a sum of Rs.60,000/- to the petitioner in the form of demand draft in favour of the petitioner and thereafter, the petitioner would withdraw the present revision petition.

To come up on 11.05.2018.”

3. Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 4 has supplied a Demand Draft bearing No. 031295, dated 27th June, 2022.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner accepts the Demand draft and on instructions seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn.
6. Since the main petition has been disposed of, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

7th July, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No