

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

115

CRWP-6468-2022

Date of Decision: 07.07.2022

RITIKA AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. S.K.Bishnoi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the live-in-relationship of the petitioners, against any threats being meted to them by respondents No. 4 to 6, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 to 6.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-3.

3. Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-3, through a speaking order.

4. Petition is disposed of.

5. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

07.07.2022

kavneet singh

KAVNEET SINGH
2022.07.07 17:37
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/judgment

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No