

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

247

CRM-M-6208-2018

Date of Decision: 27.10.2022

AMIR KHAN

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

UT OF CHANDIGARH & ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Bikram Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepinder Brar, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate for respondent No.3.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.36 dated 06.02.2018 under Section 376 of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Industrial Area, Chandigarh (UT), Annexure P-1.

Although, counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has married the prosecutrix, but despite having been granted an opportunity, Marriage Certificate has not been produced.

In view of the judgment of the Supreme Court in *State of Madhya Pradesh versus Laxmi Narayan and others (2019) 5 SCC 688*, FIR in which allegations of sexual assault have been levelled, cannot be quashed on the basis of a compromise.

Petition is dismissed.

27.10.2022

sheetal

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No