

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

244

CRM-M-26941-2020
Date of Decision: 30.09.2022

Yasar
..... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana
..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner had filed the present petition with multiple prayers first being quashing on merits and second challenging the proclamation order. He further submits that charges have been framed and trial is going on, rendering the petition for quashing infructuous.

The prayer is innocuous, as such, the first prayer of the present petition has rendered infructuous.

Regarding second prayer, once the petitioner is appearing before the concerned Court and proclamation order is quashed and set aside, said prayer also stands infructuous.

Given above, the present petition stands disposed of. All the pending miscellaneous applications stand disposed of.

It is clarified that in case FIR has been registered under Section 174-A IPC, then liberty reserved to the petitioner to file a separate petition challenging that.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

30.09.2022
Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>