

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(228-1)

CRR(F)-206-2014

Date of decision:- 09.08.2022

Sukhdev Sarkar

...Petitioner

Versus

Geeta Sarkar through her Legal Representative-Sanjeet Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant revision petition has been filed under Section 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short “the Code”) challenging the order dated 11.09.2014 passed by learned Family Court, Faridabad, vide which the petitioner has been directed to pay monthly maintenance of Rs.10,000/- to the respondent from the date of the petition in proceedings under Section 125 of the Code.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Same was the position on the previous two dates of hearing.

It seems that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the litigation.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

09.08.2022

Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No