

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28751-2019
Reserved on: 30.08.2022
Date of Pronouncement: 30.09.2022

Sulinder Singh @ Sunny & others
...Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Punjab & another
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Gupta., Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Virat Rana, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gulzar Mohd, Advocate
for respondent nos.2 to 4.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

GD No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
35	3.3.2019	Kartarpur, District Jalandhar (Rural)	323, 324, 452, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC.

In

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
20	2.3.2019	Kartarpur, District Jalandhar (Rural)	323, 452, 427, 148, 149 IPC

The petitioners, arraigned as accused in the DDR of the above captioned FIR, has come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of the DDR and all consequential proceedings based on the compromise with the aggrieved person.

2. During the pendency of the petition, the accused and the aggrieved persons have compromised the matter, and its copy is annexed with this petition as Annexure P-3.

3. After that, the petitioners came up before this Court to quash the FIR, and in the quashing petition, impleading the aggrieved persons as respondents.

4. On 16.9.19, the aggrieved persons Bhajan Singh, Jagga, Daljit Kaur and on 16.3.20, aggrieved Balbir Kaur appeared before the JMIC, Jalandhar and stated that there would be no objection if the court quashes this FIR and consequent proceedings. As per the concerned court's report, the parties consented to the quashing of FIR and consequent proceedings without any threat.

ANALYSIS & REASONING:

5. However, the petitioners did not arraign the injured Navpreet Kaur as a respondent in this case.

6. Since all the victims did not state about their no objection to the compromise and also the reasons which led to the compromise, as such this court cannot proceed further in this matter.

7. Given above, the petition is dismissed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file a fresh petition and there would be no necessity re-examine the already examined aggrieved and the accused, whose statements stand recorded. The copies of the statements along with report would suffice.

Petition dismissed in the terms mentioned above, with liberty reserved.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

September 30, 2022
AK

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes
No.