

**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.17140 of 2021
Date of decision : 25.04.2022**

Jagat Ram

..... Petitioner

versus

Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited and Ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

After arguing some time learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order has been passed by the Superintending Engineer, the petitioner intends to withdraw this present petition with liberty to approach the higher authorities to convince them that the claim of the petitioner has wrongly been considered and rejected by the Superintending Engineer while passing the impugned order and as per the facts of the present case, the petitioner is entitled for the ACP, which was granted to him in the year 2002 and was wrongly withdrawn in the year 2016.

Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

25.04.2022

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No