

(113+214) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-15135-2022 in/and
CRM-M-19051-2021
Date of decision :.19.07.2022

Karanvir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

CRM-15135-2022

The present application has been filed by the learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner for placing on record MLR dated 20.10.2019 and opinion of doctor dated 05.12.2019 as Annexures P-3 and P-4.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and MLR dated 20.10.2019 and opinion of doctor dated 05.12.2019 as Annexures P-3 and P-4 are taken on record.

CRM-M-19051-2021

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.485 of 2019 dated 20.10.2019 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 307, 34 IPC, 1860 at Police Station Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a

compromise dated 30.04.2021 (Annexure P-2), arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 07.05.2021, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the aforesaid compromise.

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this aforesaid regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 07.05.2021, the parties have appeared before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, (Fast Track Special Court for offences of Rape and under POCSO Act), Kurukshetra and as per the report dated 08.07.2021 submitted to this Court by the learned Magistrate, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543***”.

In the present case, undoubtedly, the FIR has been registered under Section 307 IPC and therefore, the question would be as to whether the FIR could be quashed.

This Court was informed by the learned counsel for the petitioner that it is a case of no injury, which fact has been admitted by the learned counsel for respondent No.2 as also the State counsel.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in Narinder Singh and Others vs State of Punjab and Another, 2014 (2) RCR (Criminal) 482, and State of Madhya Pradesh vs Laxmi Narayan and Others, 2019(2) RCR (Criminal) 255, have categorized those cases where quashing on the basis of compromise was permitted and those in which, it was not permitted. It was further observed that the Court concerned may look into the medical evidence and examine the same to see as to whether the conviction under Section 307 of the IPC was possible or not.

Thus, mere registration of an FIR under Section 307 did not foreclose the right of the petitioner/accused to effect a compromise and get the FIR quashed.

In the present case, as is apparent, it is a case of no injury and the parties to the lis are husband and wife. Hence, with a view to put a quietus to the incident between the parties, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the proceedings on the basis of compromise and moreso, when the dispute is between friends. Even as per the FIR, no injury has been caused by the petitioner to complainant and the possibility of a conviction being recorded under Section 307 IPC is extremely unlikely.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.485 of 2019 dated 20.10.2019 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections

323, 307, 34 IPC, 1860 at Police Station Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed on the basis of a compromise dated 30.04.2021 (Annexure P-2).

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

19.07.2022

JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No