

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28964-2022

Date of Decision: 11.07.2022

Sahana Bibi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Mr. Santosh Kumar Tripathi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he restricts his prayer only for recall of the petitioner for getting her statement recorded during the course of trial. Statement of the petitioner was recorded during the course of trial on 28th February 2022.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to avail alternative remedy as may be available to the petitioner in accordance with law before the trial Court.

In view the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

11.07.2022*Ajay Goswami***(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**Yes/No
Yes/No*