

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(118)

CWP-15358-2022

Date of Decision : July 19, 2022

Vinod Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mahavir Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though the benefit, which is being claimed in the present petition, was extended to the petitioner but the same was withdrawn vide order dated 12.04.2021 (Annexure P-5) on the ground that the said case was approved without going into the facts of the case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is challenging the order dated 12.04.2021 (Annexure P-5) in the present petition but the said challenge is not being pressed by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that after the benefit was withdrawn, the petitioner again filed a representation dated 13.06.2021 (Annexure P-9) raising the grievance that the petitioner, who is senior to one Sahab Ram, in the cadre of lecturer, was getting a lesser basic pay than Sahab Ram, which is not permissible and the petitioner will be

satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.8 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the representation of the petitioner in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 13.06.2021 (Annexure P-9), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.8 to decide the representation dated 13.06.2021 (Annexure P-9) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

July 19, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No