

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.305

CRWP No.6399 of 2022

Date of Decision: 26th July, 2022.

Mohan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana,
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate,
for the alleged detainee named Garima as well as
for respondents No.5 to 8, along-with the alleged detainee
in person.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Today, the alleged detainee has appeared in the Court along-with her counsel. Power of Attorney on her behalf as well as on behalf of respondents No.5 to 8 has been submitted in the Court and the same is taken on the record.

The envelope, purportedly containing the report of the Warrant Officer, has also been opened in the Court and as reported therein, the alleged detainee could not be contacted by the Warrant Officer and therefore, her statement could not be recorded by him.

However, in view of the request as made by the above-named detainee, her statement has been recorded in the Court wherein she has

submitted that she is staying with her husband and other family members at her matrimonial home and she does not want to go with Mohan, i.e the petitioner and wants to stay with her husband and other family members. She has been duly identified by her above-named counsel.

In view of the afore-discussed statement of the alleged detainee, the petition in hand stands disposed of accordingly.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

26.07.2022.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No