

104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28708-2022

Date of decision:08.07.2022

VIKRAMJIT SINGH @ VICKY

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, AAG, Punjab

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner, by filing the present petition cast under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.77 of 05.04.2022, registered at Police Station Sarabha Nagar, District Ludhiana, wherein offences constituted, under Sections 364, 120-B of IPC, and, Section 25 of Arms Act, are embodied.

2. This Court, through an order made, on 01.06.2022, upon CRM-M-24755-2022, proceeded to accord the indulgence of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners therein. The incriminatory role of the present bail petitioner rather with the accused/petitioners in the petition (supra), is not, at this stage, proven to be higher or superior to the ones, as became attributed to the petitioners, in the petition (supra), therefore, parity of treatment is to be accorded to the present bail petitioner hence with the petitioners in the petition (supra).

3. Consequently, this Court also qua the present bail petitioner proceeds to accord to him the craved for indulgence of pre-arrest bail. The

further reason for granting the craved for indulgence to the present bail petitioner, becomes rested, upon, the factum of a statement being made before this Court, by the learned State counsel that, a compromise has occurred amongst the complainant, and, the accused. Consequently, this Court does not deem it fit, and, appropriate to order for the custodial interrogation of the present bail petitioner.

4. In consequence, the present petition is allowed, and, in the event of the arrest of the bail petitioner, the investigating officer may not arrest the present bail petitioner, however, subject to his furnishing personal, and, surety bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer, and, also subject to his rendering co-operation to him, in the investigations to be carried into the offences mentioned in the present FIR. Moreover, also with an undertaking, that he shall not tamper with prosecution evidence, and, nor shall influence the prosecution witnesses.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

08.07.2022

ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No