

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

227

**CRM-M-26398-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.11.2022**

Gurwinder Singh Saini @ Gurpreet Singh @ Harry and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that though he has already received a sum of R.5 lakhs in terms of compromise from petitioners, however, he is not ready to make statement before learned trial Court because he has not received entire amount i.e. Rs.11 lakhs. He further submits that Rs. 5 lakhs was to be paid by present petitioners, however, remaining amount was required to be paid by 3rd accused i.e. Vandana. As he has not received remaining amount from Vandana, he would not make statement before the trial Court qua present petitioners.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of present petition with liberty to avail remedies as per law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

All pending applications, if any, also stands disposed of.

29.11.2022
anju

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>