

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

CRM-M-28236-2022

Date of decision : 06.07.2022

Ajay

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.29 dated 22.02.2021, under Sections 452, 323, 148 and 149 IPC (Sections 307 and 365 IPC were added later on), registered at Police Station City-1 Abohar, District Fazilka.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although the petitioner is named in the FIR but no overt act is attributed to him. He further submits that co-accused, namely, Rakesh Kumar @ Raja, Shubam Thakral @ Yogi, Ankit Punia, Vijay @ Chhota Maku and Vikas have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail. Co-accused Bhallu has been granted regular bail by the trial Court. The allegations against the petitioner are that he was armed with a pistol in his hand and he along with other accused had entered the house of the complainant, who is a widow. They had tried to abduct one Aman Kumar, who was caused injuries.

Heard.

This is the second petition for grant of anticipatory bail. The first petition preferred by the petitioner bearing CRM-M-20418-2021 was dismissed as withdrawn on 22.12.2021. The allegations against the petitioner are that he was armed with a pistol and had entered the house of the complainant. The petitioner is also stated to be involved in several other cases. Therefore, I do not find any good ground to take another view in the matter as there is no change in circumstances.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 06, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No