

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(231)

CWP-13599-2020

Date of Decision : November 16, 2022

Balram and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. K.S. Banyana, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Maan, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that present petition was filed by the petitioners challenging the transfer order on the ground that the petitioners had not completed five year at a particular station and keeping in view the interim order granted, the petitioners are continuing where the petitioners were working prior to the passing of the impugned order of transfer dated 14.08.2020. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioners have already completed five years at a particular station, hence, though the impugned transfer order will not be given effect to qua the petitioners but the respondents be given liberty to transfer the petitioners, hence forth keeping in view the provisions of the transfer policy.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioners survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

November 16, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No