

[127] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.1266 of 2022
Date of Decision :19.09.2022

Naib Singh son of Shri Sher Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Sandeep Garg, Senior Superintendent
of Police, MansaRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Shweta Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 12.11.2021, in CRM-M No.23567 of 2021 in case titled as '**Naib Singh versus State of Punjab and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CRM-M No.23567 of 2021 was disposed of by issuing directions to the respondent to unearth the identity of the person, who launched a lethal assault on the person of the wife of the petitioner.

[3] Reply has been filed that no conclusive or affirmative evidence had come to light during the investigation of the matter by the SIT, which could result in establishing the role of any person(s) with regard to commission of the offence, therefore, it would not be in the interest of justice to conclude the investigation in the matter, accordingly,

the real culprits. The reply is taken on record and copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition at this stage but prays for issuance of directions to the respondent to take all possible steps to take the investigation to its logical end as expeditiously as possible with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition, if the circumstances of the case so warrant.

[5] The aforementioned course of action is acceptable to the learned Asstt. AG, Punjab.

[6] Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as learned Asstt. AG, Punjab, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

19.09.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>