

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

116

CRWP-6470-2022

Date of Decision: 07.07.2022

RESHMA AND ANR.

.....PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Santosh Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P.Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the live-in-relationship of the petitioners, against any threats being meted to them by respondents No. 4 and 5, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-3.

3. Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-3, through a speaking order.

4. Petition is disposed of.

5. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

07.07.2022
kavneet singh

KAVNEET SINGH
2022.07.07 17:37
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/judgment

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No