

Balwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Patil Ketan Baliram and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. G.S. Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Notice has yet not been issued in the case, however, Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in Court, has produced communication from the Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh to the Advocate General, Punjab, Chandigarh dated 11.01.2022 in Court today. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

[2] Learned Addl. A.G. states that in terms of order, Annexure P/1 dated 01.09.2017 in CWP No.19746 of 2017 necessary information as sought for by the petitioner, has already been provided to him vide memo No.3040/RTI-2 dated 08.09.2017 and No.3851/RTI-2 dated 13.11.2017 as is evident from paragraph No.5 of the aforementioned communication and Annexures A/1 and A/2 attached thereto.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner on perusal of the said communication states that in view of the position noted above, the petitioner does not wish to press the instant petition at this stage and the same may be

appropriate proceedings qua denial of part of information as supplied vide Annexures A/1 and A/2 dated 08.09.2017 and 13.11.2017 in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.03.2022

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No