

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Through Video Conferencing)

CRM-M-31420 of 2021

Date of Decision:21.01.2022

Harkirat Singh

---Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

---Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sunny Kumar Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner
Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab
Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.71 dated 4.6.2021 under Sections 406, 498-A IPC registered at Police Station, Amloh, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

As per report received from the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, the parties have amicably settled their dispute.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a false case had been planted upon the petitioner by his wife which finds credence from the fact that it had been alleged that on 25.11.2020 and 1.2.2021, the petitioner alongwith his family has physically assaulted the complainant whereas it was a matter of record that on the said dates, the petitioner was at his work place. In support of the same, learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of this Court to the 'Teachers Attendance Register' annexed as Annexure P-4. Learned counsel further submits that pursuant to order dated 6.8.2021, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and co-operated during the investigation.

Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant on instructions from ASI Baljit Singh does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined the investigation. He, on further instructions states that the petitioner is not required for further investigation. Learned counsel further submits that during investigation it has come to light that all the dowry articles stood duly returned and so far as the petitioner being in possession of 33 tolas of gold of the complainant is concerned, the petitioner had disputed the same and denied being in possession of the same.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the petitioner having joined investigation and he being not required for further investigation, petition is allowed and interim order dated 6.8.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

21.01.2022

PARAMJIT	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable :	Yes/No