

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

CWP-15526-2021

Date of decision : 22.09.2022

Navjot Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Bhawesh Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has impugned the action of the respondents declining him appointment on compassionate ground.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the father of the petitioner, who was working as an Inspector Grade-II in the Cooperative Society, had expired in the year 1996. The petitioner was minor at that time and could not apply for appointment on compassionate ground. He had applied for the appointment immediately after attaining the age of majority but his case has been erroneously rejected by the respondents by passing a non-speaking order.

Heard.

A perusal of the petition indicates that the father of the petitioner had expired in the year 1996 and the petitioner was minor at that time. He had applied for appointment on compassionate ground in the year 2005 after attaining majority. The case of the petitioner had been rejected as the mother of the petitioner was in a government job at that time. In terms of the policy issued by the respondents on 03.07.2008 (Annexure P-3), the case

for compassionate appointment of a dependent child could be considered only within a period of one year from the date of attaining the age of majority if the spouse of a deceased employee is not in a position to join the government job.

As the mother of the petitioner was already in a government job at the time of death of the father of the petitioner, the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment had been rejected by the respondents.

Consequently, I do not find any merit in this petition which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 22, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No