

[261] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1612 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.04.2022

Dharam Pal Goyal

...Petitioner

versus

Sibash Kabiraj, Regional Passport Officer, Chandigarh.Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Gurpreet Singh Dhillon, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjay Joshi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with
Ms. Shweta Nahata, Advocate
for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 11.01.2021 in CWP No.37014 of 2019 in case titled as 'Dharam Pal Goyal versus Union of India and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.37014 of 2019 was disposed of vide order dated 11.01.2021 as not pressed by observing that the respondents would be bound by their statement that in case the petitioner furnished any explanation to show cause notices dated 01.07.2016, 07.09.2016 and 04.04.2019, appropriate orders on the same would be passed by the authorities within two months of receipt of the explanation.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to

the statement furnished by the petitioner, the respondent has passed orders in respect thereto and that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same since the impounded passport of the petitioner has been released.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

20.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>