

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-7339-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.01.2022**

Khushaldeep Singh Dhingra and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Prayer in the petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus, directing respondent Nos.2 to 4 to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.5 to 31, who with the help of local police are threatening to kill, harass and humiliate the petitioners with an oblique motive.

On 05.08.2021, learned counsel for the petitioners contended that petitioner No.1 registered FIR No.13 dated 03.03.2021 under Sections 379-B, 384, 386, 442, 443, 323, 362, 365, 378, 504, 506, 419, 420, 465, 467,

468, 120-B,142, 143, 149, 182 and 34 IPC at Police Station Thermal Bathinda against respondent Nos.5 to 31 and the bail application of the said respondents was listed before the learned trial Court on 06.08.2021 and said respondents had threatened the petitioners with harm to their life and liberty, if the FIR registered by petitioner No.1 was pursued and the matter followed up by petitioner No.1 to take the FIR registered against the aforesaid respondents to its logical conclusion.

It was further contended that the petitioners had submitted representation dated 02.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.3, but no action was taken thereon.

Vide order dated 05.08.2021 passed by a Coordinate Bench, respondent No.3 was directed to consider and decide the representation dated 02.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) submitted by the petitioners

In compliance of the said order, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-2, Bathinda, has filed a short reply by way of affidavit dated 16.08.2021. The same is taken on record.

As per the said reply, statements of the petitioners were recorded by the police, wherein they have stated that now they do not apprehend any danger to their life and liberty from any person and hence, do not require any security.

Learned State counsel submits that 9 persons were arrested, but they have been released on bail. The investigation is going on in a very fair manner.

Also the reply filed would reveal that the statement made by petitioner No. 1 was also signed by the petitioner No. 2.

In view of the above, as there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners from any person, no further orders are called for in the present petition.

Dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

17.01.2022

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No