

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.116

**CRM-M No.31720 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.09.2022**

Krishan Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Reply submitted on behalf of respondent No.1-State, by way of the affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Guhla, District Kaithal, is already available on the file and the same is taken on the record.

However, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that it has become infructuous.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed as withdrawn for having been rendered infructuous.

27.09.2022
neetu

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable*

*Yes
No*