

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

CWP No. 13995 of 2022

Date of Decision: 06.07.2022

Rama Singla

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Saurabh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, keeping in view the order passed by this Court in CWP No.3527 of 1991 as well as CWP No.17652 of 2007, the pay scale of the technicians were revised and the similarly situated employees were granted revised pay scale from the date when the husband of the petitioner was also in service. Learned counsel argues that the husband of the petitioner unfortunately died on 27.05.2005 and hence, the said benefit was not extended to him.

Learned counsel argues that once the pay scale of the post, which was held by the late husband of the petitioner, got revised, the same needs to be taken into account for determining the revised pension for the services rendered by the late husband of the petitioner. Hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to revise the pay of the late husband of the petitioner as on the date when he died and thereafter, revise the benefits, which were extended to him and grant the arrears to the petitioner.

Learned counsel submits that the claim which has been raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 18.10.2021 (Annexure P-1), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied if respondent No.2 is directed to decide the same in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection with regard to deciding the representation dated 18.10.2021 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner and assures that the same will be decided within a period of 8 weeks of the receipt of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after passing the speaking order, the petitioner is found entitled for any relief, the same will be extended to her within a period of 4 weeks thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned State counsel, no further grievance survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

06.07.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No