

CWP-13935-2022

Date of Decision: 12.12.2022

Balehar Singh

....Petitioner

VS

Financial Commissioner (Appeals) Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsy, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved because the private respondent i.e. respondent No. 4 has been appointed as the Lambardar. His appeal and revision have been dismissed and, thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the finding of the Collector that he is not available in the village is perverse. Thus, the Commissioner as well as the Financial Commissioner have erred in not interfering therewith.

It is one thing to raise an argument and it is another to substantiate the same. The argument is well reasoned but can not be accepted as no evidence in support thereof has been brought to the notice of this Court. Thus, no interference is called for.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

12.12.2022

reena

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**