

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(202)

CRM-M-28091-2022

Date of Decision : July 08, 2022

Jitendra Kamti

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kumar Gaurav, Advocate and
Mr. Shrikant Prasad, Advocate, for
Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Though in the present petition, the prayer of the petitioner is for the grant of regular bail but learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner is already behind the bars for the last more than two and half years and the trial is not proceeding in a manner required, the petitioner will be satisfied in case, the conclusion of the trial is expedited.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that there are 29 witnesses, who have to be examined, out of which four have been examined and submits that he has no objection in case, appropriate direction

is given to the trial Court to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the facts recorded hereinbefore, as the prayer for the grant of regular bail is not pressed by the petitioner, a direction is issued to the trial Court to complete the trial within a period of six months from the next date of hearing.

The present petition is disposed of in above terms.

July 08, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No