

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CRWP-6367-2022
Date of Decision: 16.08.2022

Raj Bala Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6.

Notices served upon the official respondents No. 1 to 3 through the State's counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions be issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation dated 29.06.2022 (Annexure P-2) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed by the learned State counsel.

Given above, respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Sirsa to decide the representation dated 29.06.2022 (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking order within thirty working days from today.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, she shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

16.08.2022
Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>