

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28005-2022
Date of Decision: 29.09.2022

RAJINDER KAUR

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate
for the complainant.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 04.07.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Through instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.6 dated 20.01.2022 under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Haryana, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is married sister-in-law of the complainant and residing alongwith her husband in her own matrimonial house. The husband of the complainant has been granted regular bail and during the course of his interrogation, the recovery of articles of istridhan has also been effected. There are allegations levelled against the petitioner to the effect that she had inflicted injuries on the complainant, but the same are false. Even otherwise, the injuries are simple in nature.

Notice of motion.

Mr. M.S.Nagra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate, also accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

Adjourned to 29.09.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balwant Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect(s) and further contends that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 04.07.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

29.09.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No