

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

CRM-M-31264-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.3.2022

Parmod Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Surinder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.96 dated 9.7.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC at Police Station Mullanpur, District SAS Nagar (Mohali) on the basis of compromise dated 22.7.2021 (Annexure P-2).

The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Sukhwinder Singh in which he stated that on 7.7.2021, the petitioners made his video while he was taking bath on the tubewell in the area of Todhe Majra and when he objected, petitioners No.1 and 2 started fighting with him and then they agreed to delete the said video. Thereafter, he along with his mother went to the house of the said petitioners to raise objection regarding their conduct and on reaching there, he was attacked by all the three petitioners, all of whom, caused injuries to him and thereafter, the matter was reported to the police.

On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Kharar along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court

RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.96 dated 9.7.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC at Police Station Mullanpur, District SAS Nagar (Mohali) and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(**KARAMJIT SINGH**)
JUDGE

March 10, 2022

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No