

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.240

CRWP No.6329 of 2022

Date of Decision: 30.09.2022

Vaibhav Kansal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, D.A.G., Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Anshul Mangla, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that respondent No.6 has amicably agreed to return to her matrimonial home along-with the minor son named Vrishank.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 6 have no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

30.09.2022

neetu

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable*

*Yes
No*