

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

141

CWP No.13867-2022

Date of Decision: June 30, 2022

Kavita

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

Union of India and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms.Geeta Rani Advocate for
Mr.Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-department to correct the passing year in the application form and consider the petitioner as eligible for final selection.

On advance notice, Mr. Namit Kumar, Senior Panel Counsel, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondents-UOI and submits that at this stage the post for which the petitioner had applied and for any grievance, jurisdiction falls under the Administrative Tribunal and the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 would only be subject to the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in *L.Chandra Kumar Vs. UOI, 1997(2) SCT 423*. He further submits that the petitioner has to approach Central Administrative Tribunal before coming to this Court.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with the liberty to approach Central Administrative Tribunal.

Given above, the petition is disposed of as having been withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

It is clarified that filing and withdrawal of this petition shall not come in the way if petitioner chooses to file any application/petitioner before the Central Administrative Tribunal.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

June 30, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No