

256
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-6288-2022
Date of Decision: 05.09.2022**

Sate Singh

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Ms. Khushboo Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Deepak Grover, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Kumar Dagar, DAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

State report filed by the State is taken on record.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for releasing the detainee namely Anju Devi daughter of Late Sh. Fate Singh.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is the uncle of the detainee and the present petition has been filed seeking grant of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for releasing of his niece from the illegal custody of respondents No. 4 to 7. She further submitted that now after the recording of the statement of the detainee, she does not wish to press the present petition.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel has submitted that a status report has been filed by the Assistant Superintendent of Police,

Narwana, District Jind and while referring to para No.5 of the status report, he has submitted that the statement of the girl was got recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jind wherein she disclosed that she has solemnized marriage with Ankit i.e. respondent No.4 and wants to reside with him. Thereafter, the physical custody of the girl was handed over to Ankit i.e. respondent No.4. She also produced copy of the mark sheet of her Secondary Examination issued by the Board of School Education Haryana and also the copy of Aadhar card according to which her date of birth is 07.10.2003.

In view of the aforesaid position wherein the statement of the detainee has already been recorded wherein she stated that she has solemnized marriage with respondent No.4 and her custody was handed over to him and the fact that the learned counsel for the petitioner stated that in view of the statement made by the detainee, the petitioner does not wish to press the present petition, no further action is required to be taken in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

05.09.2022

rakesh

Whether speaking
Whether reportable

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**JUDGE**

: Yes/No
: Yes/No