

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30822-2021

Date of decision : 30.05.2022

Ashish

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Petitioner in person along with
Mr. Gagan Pradeep S. Bal, Advocate.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0182 dated 21.06.2021, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Hansi Sadar, District Hansi.

Vide order dated 04.08.2021 while granting interim protection, the petitioner was directed to join investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that pursuant to order dated 04.08.2021, petitioner has joined the investigation and as such his interim bail may be made absolute.

I have interacted with the petitioner. He submits that the recovery of articles from their side has already been got effected. He further submits that his father is no more and he is working in CAG. He has submitted that the demand of the complainant is unreasonable and beyond his capacity.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant submits that the offer made by the petitioner is not acceptable to the complainant.

On earlier occasions, this case was referred to the Mediation Centre and the report of the Mediator has also been received showing that both the parties are adamant on their stand and thus, the same failed. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined investigation, however, some dowry articles still remains to be effected.

After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, this Court is satisfied that there are no chances of amicable settlement and despite number of opportunities granted to the parties, the matter could not be settled. The objection of the learned State counsel is regarding the recovery of articles. The same cannot be a valid ground for rejection of anticipatory bail. The case needs to be thoroughly investigated and decided on merits.

In view of the above, interim order dated 04.08.2021 is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

30.05.2022
m.sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No