

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(209)

CRM-M-27880-2022

Date of decision: - 22.11.2022

Vandana Rathore

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mohd. Arshad, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate, for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is the first petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.84 dated 01.06.2022, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station City Khanna, District Ludhiana.

On 05.07.2022, the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel has contended that the complainant as well as the petitioner are allegedly engaged in business of booking air tickets and as per allegations, the petitioner had paid various amounts totalling to Rs.23 lacs for arranging the tickets, but the PNR number provided by the petitioner were found to be non-existent. Learned counsel has further pointed out that though the firm is in petitioner's name, but in fact the business is being run by her husband. Learned counsel further states that petitioner is willing to settle the dispute with the complainant.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant and does not oppose the request of learned counsel for the petitioner for sending the matter before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court to explore the possibility of compromise.

Adjourned to 08.08.2022.

The parties are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 07.07.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

July 05, 2022"

Thereafter, on 02.11.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

"Today, the Lawyers have decided to abstain from appearing in the Court because of the call given by the Bar Association.

Complainant along with her son appears in person and states that no amount has been paid by the petitioner till date, although the petitioner had agreed to pay an amount of Rs.20 lacs in the mediation proceedings.

Adjourned to 22.11.2022.

Interim order to continue.

Last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to argue the matter.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 02, 2022"

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition after giving full and better particulars and after getting instructions in the

matter.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner,
the present petitioner is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

November 22, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No