

CRR-2994-2014(O&amp;M)

Date of decision: 30.08.2022

RITU SHARMA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vijay Dahiya, Advocate  
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has assailed the order dated 30.08.2014 passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kaithal vide which application under Section 319 Cr.P.C has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 contend that the trial of the case has culminated in the acquittal of Dharamvir, the husband of the complainant who was facing trial. Consequently, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition within a period of three months, in the event, the aforesaid assertion is found to be factually incorrect.

30.08.2022

*renubala*(VIVEK PURI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No