

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-27891-2022

Date of Decision : **July 08, 2022**

RAJPAL BAJAJ

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to the respondents not to harass the petitioner and his family illegally and arbitrarily in the absence of any FIR or complaint against him.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has moved a representation to the DGP, Punjab vide Annexure P-1 but no action has been taken in this regard. He submitted that the grievance of the petitioner is that one Gurmeet Singh had come to his shop for the purpose of showing some plot but thereafter there was another friend sitting in the shop who stated that the documents of the plot were not upto the mark and thereafter it was found that the plot was sold to some ladies and now the persons who have purchased the plot are harassing the petitioner that it was because of the interference of the petitioner that the plot has been sold and, therefore, directions be issued to the police to enquire into the matter.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and have also gone through the representation Annexure P-1 which according to learned counsel for the petitioner was given to the DGP, Punjab.

Firstly, such a petition is not maintainable in view of the fact that the petitioner has approached the DGP of the State alone straightway and a perusal of the representation would show that even no copy has been given to the District Level Police Officer. Secondly, the petitioner seeks to invoke the extra ordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. with a prayer for seeking directions to the respondents not to harass the petitioner. This Court is of the view that such kind of prayer on the face of it is not only vague but also frivolous. It appears to be a property dispute between some persons and the petitioner is seeking a prayer for conducting an enquiry regarding the same on the ground that the papers were shown at the shop of the petitioner. Extra ordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. cannot be invoked for such vague averments made in the petition.

Consequently, the present petition is devoid of any merit and is, hereby, dismissed.

July 08, 2022

ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No