

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27897-2022 (O & M)

Date of decision: 15.09.2022

Amar Singh and ors.

..... Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Jagtar Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh Mann, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.64 dated 03.02.2022 under Sections 452, 427, 294, 506, 148, 149, 120-B IPC and Section 458 IPC (added later on) registered at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 21.06.2022 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 01.07.2022 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 01.07.2022 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the order dated 01.07.2022 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, SAS Nagar and as per his report dated 30.07.2022 submitted to this Court, both

the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court. As per

the said report, the statement of accused-Manpreet Singh has been recorded through his father i.e. accused/petitioner No.1-Amar Singh in terms of the order dated 01.07.2022 passed by this Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, SAS Nagar, accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the FIR No. 64 dated 03.02.2022 under Sections 452, 427, 294, 506, 148, 149, 120-B IPC and Section 458 IPC (added later on) registered at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

September 15, 2022
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No