

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6290-2022

Date of decision : 08.07.2022

Suninderjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and also to restrain the concerned police official to repeatedly calling the petitioner to the Police Station as no FIR has been registered on the complaint given by respondent No.4.

Learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Talwinder Singh, has submitted that the complaint which was submitted by respondent No.4 has been consigned to the record room as respondent No.4 could not produce any supporting documents with respect to the said complaint.

In view of the same, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present Criminal Writ Petition has been rendered infructuous and same may kindly be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

08.07.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No