

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.224

CRM-M-27688-2022

Date of decision: 12.09.2022

Paramjit Singh @ Pammi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Bhandohal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.0093 dated 29.04.2022 registered under Section 306 IPC at Police Station Sadar Nabha, District Patiala.

The petitioner is being prosecuted for having abetted the complainant's sister's suicide.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; the petitioner has no other criminal case pending against him; the petitioner is in custody since 30.04.2022; the petitioner is in judicial custody and therefore not required by the police for any investigation purposes; the allegations in the FIR against the petitioner are absolutely vague and unsubstantiated; even if the allegations in the FIR are accepted as gospel truth, though vehemently denied, the same would not come under the definition of 107 IPC and that in view of the afore submissions further incarceration of the petitioner is wholly unnecessary.

Learned State counsel opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that he has abetted the deceased's suicide.

The petitioner is brother-in-law of the deceased's husband; in the FIR in question, no specific allegation is found against the petitioner; the petitioner is in custody since 30.04.2022; he is in judicial custody and therefore, he is not required for investigation purposes; similarly placed

co-accused Shano and Gurjit Singh, who had earlier approached this Court through CRM-M-25486-2022 – Shano vs. State of Punjab and CRM-M-26052-2022 – Gurjit Singh vs. State of Punjab, have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court on 14.07.2022.

Keeping in view the totality of the above facts, the present case is considered to be a fit one in which the petitioner be directed to be released on regular bail. Resultantly, subject to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Patiala, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail.

It is clarified that the above observations have been made only for the limited purpose of deciding the present regular bail application and the same would not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

September 12, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No