

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.265

CRM-M-53140-2018

Date of decision: 29.10.2022

Lovish Behl and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. H.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Amarpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.150 dated 02.11.2017 registered under Sections 313, 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station Division No.2, Jalandhar, Punjab and all subsequent proceedings arising out of it on the basis of the compromise dated 31.10.2018 (Annexure P-3).

On 26.02.2020 this Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court on 28.02.2020 for recording of their respective statements with regard to the genuineness of the compromise.

As directed, report dated 28.02.2020 from the Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Jalandhar, has been received, as per which the parties had recorded their statements before the Trial Court in terms of the compromise arrived at between them.

Learned State counsel has also raised no objection if the present petition is allowed.

In view of the above, continuation of the proceedings in pursuance of the afore-referred FIR which originates from a matrimonial dispute and the matter having been compromised, would be an abuse of the process of law and in terms of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court deems it just and proper to allow the petition and resultantly quash the FIR No.150 dated 02.11.2017 registered under Sections 313, 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station Division No.2, Jalandhar, Punjab and all proceedings arising therefrom, qua the petitioners.

October 29, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No