

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30873-2021

Date of decision : 1.8.2022

Rohit Saroya

... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Gurkanwal Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate,
for the complainant(s).

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case having FIR No.65 dated 21.5.2021 registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Navi Baradari, Jalandhar Commissionerate, District Jalandhar.

On the last date of hearing, with the consent of both the parties, the matter was referred to Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court. As per report of the Mediator dated 7.4.2022, the matter between the parties has been settled amicably and copy of the settlement agreement dated 7.4.2022 is ordered to be taken on record. As per said settlement, the complainant(s) have agreed not to prosecute FIR in question having FIR No.65 dated 21.5.2021 registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Navi Baradari, Jalandhar

Commissionerate, District Jalandhar. Even the State counsel has not disputed the fact regarding amicable settlement which has been effected between the parties.

Counsel for the complainant(s) has also not refuted the fact that the parties have entered into compromise during the mediation proceedings. She further submits that the complainant(s) are having no objection if the present petition is allowed.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is hereby granted anticipatory bail subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. The petitioner should join the investigation as and when called to do so by the police.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

August 1, 2022
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No