

206.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15402-2010 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.09.2022

PRABHJOT SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Munish Jolly, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehajbir Singh Aulakh, AAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a writ petition that has been filed as far back as in 2010 with a prayer seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to recruit the petitioner in PAP Cadre, District Batala against a post reserved for Police Wards.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would contend that the petitioner had applied against 2% reserved seats of Constable for wards of police personnel pursuant to an advertisement that was issued in the year 2010. It is contended that father of the petitioner was working in the Punjab Police and had also taken part in encounters with the terrorists regarding which six cases stood registered against him. Therefore, the petitioner herein would be entitled to the benefit of selection under 2% seats reserved for wards of police personnel under the said advertisement.

At this stage, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would also submit that he has no instructions from the petitioner who has not contacted him.

On the other hand, learned State counsel would submit that an email has been received from the Deputy Superintendent of Police, 7th India Reserve Battalion, Kapurthala, dated 02.09.2022, wherein it is submitted that the petitioner though had applied for the post of Constable in Punjab Armed Police in the year 2010 under wards of police personnel quota and he was not appointed, subsequent to which he had filed the present writ petition, but the petitioner, at the same time, had also applied for the same post under the same quota in the District Cadre and was enlisted as such and allotted Regimental No.1621/Jalandhar Rural. He would also submit that as per the information received, the petitioner was a habitual absentee and a drug addict. Consequently, he has been dismissed from service by order dated 13.10.2021.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The copy of email as received by the office of Advocate General, Punjab, is taken on record as Mark A. A perusal of the same would reflect that the petitioner was enlisted as a Constable in the District Cadre under the same quota and, therefore, the present writ petition would not survive. It appears that the petitioner already stands dismissed from service. No further orders are therefore called for and the present petition stands dismissed.

Any miscellaneous application pending is also stand dismissed.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

06.09.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No