

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

235

CWP-14061-2019

Date of Decision :31.08.2022

Seema Goswami

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was interviewed provisionally by the respondents and, therefore, the respondents were directed to produce the result of the petitioner so as to assess whether the prayer of the petitioner as raised in the present petition still subsists or has been rendered academic.

The result of the petitioner has been produced in a sealed cover in the Court today.

As per the respondents the last candidate selected, in the category in which the petitioner was competing, has secured 132 marks whereas, the petitioner secured less marks.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the prayer of the petitioner as raised in the present petition has been rendered academic, the present petition may be disposed off having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

August 31, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No